

Programs : ST Meeting #32 - 9.27.22

Participants:

Nico Diaz
Jason Scharf
Ken Stewart
Mark King
Chief Tim Gleeson
Johannes Himmelreich
Tim Liles
Mujtaba "Muj" Tirmizey
Daniel Schwarz
1st Deputy Chief Shoff
Jennifer Tift
Michelle Sczpanski
Deputy Mayor Sharon Owens

Absent

Ocesa Keaton (Excused)
Chief Tim Gleeson (Excused)
Martha Grabowski (Excused)

Agenda:

- Review new Technology Request - Rubicon
- Technology Audit Work
- Review responses from request for more information
- Continue to go through Digital Services form (time permitting)
- Coming Up
- Questions

Meeting Notes:

- Reviewed the Rubicon information as completed
- Conor Muldoon, API Staff Member. Presented on Rubicon technology.
 - Took the group through some of the material provided by the vendor
 - Does not currently use the camera capability of the Samsara video camera's
- Jen asked if there was the possibility for individuals getting caught in the video.

- Mark asked if a technology that sits on top of a technology that has been considered Surveillance (Samsara)
- Conor stated that the Rubicon App takes a point and click Snapshot of the License Plate on the Car
- Daniel Schwarz states that the Rubicon technologies promotional material states that it turns city vehicles into Data collection vehicles.
 - Conor stated that this company is primarily a solid waste technology and are expanding into snow operations, and this is what the city is using them for.
 - Daniel stated that some of the information was vandalism tracking.
- Nico asked if Rubicon can take other data in, aside from the Samsara GPS data.
 - Conor stated that he believes the technology can work with other sensor data, but right now the City is only looking at using the Samsara data.
- Nico asked if Rubicon has access to the Samsara video feed.
 - Conor shared that he believes that Rubicon could have access through Samsara's API, but that is not a feature that they are looking at using.

Discussion:

- Mark King asked if we should have a better determination about for what uses we are approving and not for the technology as a whole.
- Jen shared that we should try to communicate when we approve technologies, that if departments want to use this for other purposes, they should submit a new technology request for this.
- Johannes stated "I agree with Mark. This is a limitation of our process that we approve technology and not use but technology allows use-creep."
- Muj stated "I think all approved "use technologies" should be audited regularly to ensure the technology stays the course and does not veer into use-creep."
- Jen asked "Do we see copies of the contracts when we execute them for these technologies"
- Consider a surveillance:
 - No one voted for this.
- Consider not a surveillance
 - Richard Shoff, Jason Scharf, Nicolas Diaz, Johannes Himmelreich, Ken Stewart, Time Liles, Mark King, Dep. Mayor Sharon Owens, Michelle Sczpanski, Jen Tiff, and Mujtaba Tirmizey

- Did not vote
 - Daniel Schwarz
- Reviewed more information form SeeClickFix
 - Determined to not be surveillance
- Reviewed more information regarding Camino
 - Determined to not be a type of surveillance
- Went back to the Software List from Digital Services
 - The following technologies were determined to not be surveillance: Hamer's eTax software, KnowBe4 ISECURE, Kronos WFC, Kronos Telestaff
 - The group requested more information regarding Law - LexisNexis Accurint .
 - Muj stated that he would follow up with Karen regarding whether this is used or not.

Action Items:

- Muj stated that he would follow up with Karen regarding whether this is used or not.
- Nico and Jason to let Conor know that Rubicon was considered to not be a form of surveillance.
- Nico and Jason to continue to reach out to departments regarding requests for more information.